

The University of Manchester



#### **MANCHESTER CANCER RESEARCH CENTRE**

# How should breast cancer risk assessment be delivered to women aged 30-39 years? A qualitative study of women's views Hindmarch S<sup>\*</sup>, Gorman L, Howell SJ, Hawkes RE, French DP University of Manchester

\*sarah.hindmarch@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk |@sarah\_hindmarch

### Background

Identifying women aged 30-39 years at increased risk of breast cancer could allow them to receive the benefits of earlier screening and preventive strategies. However, it is unclear how best to deliver and communicate breast cancer risk

### Methods

Method	Participants
7 focus groups	29
(5 online, 2 in-person)	
8 individual interviews	8
(3 telephone, 5 in-person)	

estimates to these women.

## Aim

To investigate women's views on the optimal delivery of a potential new breast cancer risk assessment service.

#### Total sample

37

Participants were recruited via social media, community groups and libraries. Data were audio-recorded and analysed

thematically using a framework approach to data management.

#### Results

#### Four themes were generated from the data.

1. Acceptability of risk assessment service

- The majority of women said they would welcome the opportunity to find out their breast cancer risk as they could then plan and prepare for the future.
- Some women expressed concerns about possible emotional distress whilst awaiting results and impacts on mental health thereafter.

*"if you know* what you're facing you can deal with it, can't you?" (Grace)

2. Promoting engagement with the service

A 'one-stop shop' delivery lacksquaremodel was desired whereby all risk assessment components (risk factors questionnaire, saliva sample and low dose mammogram) would be completed at one appointment. Availability of appointments ulletoutside of normal working hours was considered essential. Women recommended  $\bullet$ involving community leaders in service delivery to increase engagement of women from ethnic minority backgrounds.

*"Get it all done in* one go, yeah, and then you don't have to use brain

Willingness to participate was contingent on there being options to manage the risk, preferably with the support of healthcare professionals.

#### 3. Impact of receiving risk results

- Women were concerned a low-risk result could result in complacency towards breast awareness.
- Some participants perceived an average-risk result to hold no meaning and so were not reassured by this result.
- In anticipation of significant anxiety following a high-risk result, women welcomed a clinic appointment to discuss their risk including implications for their relatives.

*"people need"* to be made

space for it twice" (Laura)

#### 4. Women's information requirements

Participants desired

information about evidence supporting the introduction of the service and the benefits of participation.

Women expected a high risk  $\bullet$ result to be communicated

*"I would want it"* to be focussed on what I do next and not on what the result is." (Tiffany)

Some women desired a peer support group to fulfil emotional support needs.

aware that just because it's low doesn't mean it's not impossible." (Natasha)

face-to-face or via phone whereas a letter was considered acceptable for low risk.

Presentation of risk was considered less important than implications of the result for risk management.

### Conclusions

- Breast cancer risk assessment was received favourably amongst this age group providing that a risk management plan and support from healthcare professionals is available.
- To promote uptake, efforts should be made to minimise the physical and cognitive energy required to access and engage with the service.
- Risk feedback should focus on clearly outlining next steps for management to mitigate any distress the risk result may cause.